

ORIGINAL

Person Filing: Jamie Weinbauer Martin
Address (if not protected): 9834 E Watford Way
City, State, Zip Code: SUN LAKE AZ 85248
Telephone: 602 403-4535
Email Address: JOHNNIE429@gmail.com
Lawyer's Bar Number: _____

Representing ☒ Self, without a Lawyer or ☐ Attorney for ☐ Plaintiff OR ☐ Defendant

**SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
IN MARICOPA COUNTY**

Jamie Weinbauer Martin
Name of Plaintiff

Case No.: CV2025-063720

And

Information Governance
Name of Defendant

SUMMONS



Connect with a qualified lawyer
through the Lawyer Referral
Service. Simply scan the QR code
to complete a referral or call us
directly at 602-257-4434.

Sponsored by the
Maricopa County Bar Association

WARNING: This is an official document from the court that affects your rights. Read this carefully.
If you do not understand it, contact a lawyer for help.

FROM THE STATE OF ARIZONA TO: Information Governance
Name of Defendant

1. A lawsuit has been filed against you. A copy of the lawsuit and other court papers are served on you with this "Summons."
2. If you do not want a judgment or order taken against you without your input, you must file an "Answer" or a "Response" in writing with the court, and pay the filing fee. If you do not file an "Answer" or "Response" the other party may be given the relief requested in his/her Petition or Complaint. To file your "Answer" or "Response" take, or send, the "Answer" or "Response" to the:
 - Office of the Clerk of the Superior Court, 201 West Jefferson Street, Phoenix, Arizona 85003-2205 OR
 - Office of the Clerk of the Superior Court, 18380 North 40th Street, Phoenix, Arizona 85032 OR
 - Office of the Clerk of Superior Court, 222 East Javelina Avenue, Mesa, Arizona 85210-6201 OR
 - Office of the Clerk of Superior Court, 14264 West Tierra Buena Lane, Surprise, Arizona, 85374.

Mail a copy of your "Response" or "Answer" to the other party at the address listed on the top of this Summons.

3. If this "Summons" and the other court papers were served on you by a registered process server or the Sheriff, within the State of Arizona, your "Response" or "Answer" must be filed within TWENTY (20) CALENDAR DAYS from the date you were served, not counting the day you were served. If this "Summons" and the other papers were served on you by a registered process server or the Sheriff outside the State of Arizona, your Response must be filed within THIRTY (30) CALENDAR DAYS from the date you were served, not counting the day you were served. Service by a registered process server or the Sheriff is complete when made. Service by Publication is complete thirty (30) days after the date of the first publication.
4. You can get a copy of the court papers filed in this case from the Petitioner at the address listed at the top of the preceding page, from the Clerk of the Superior Court's Customer Service Center at:
 - 601 West Jackson, Phoenix, Arizona 85003
 - 18380 North 40th Street, Phoenix, Arizona 85032
 - 222 East Javelina Avenue, Mesa, Arizona 85210
 - 14264 West Tierra Buena Lane, Surprise, Arizona 85374.
5. Requests for reasonable accommodation for persons with disabilities must be made to the division assigned to the case by the party needing accommodation or his/her counsel at least three (3) judicial days in advance of a scheduled proceeding.
6. Requests for an interpreter for persons with limited English proficiency must be made to the division assigned to the case by the party needing the interpreter and/or translator or his/her counsel at least ten (10) judicial days in advance of a scheduled court proceeding.
7. Eviction Actions/Forcible Detainers: If you want to request a telephonic hearing, please contact the judge assigned to your case. If you do not know your assigned judge, or have not been assigned a judge, please contact Civil Court Administration at 602-506-1497.

SIGNED AND SEALED this date

DEC - 1 2025


CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

By _____

Deputy Clerk

JOSEPH W. MALKA, CLERK

A. Tejada
Deputy Clerk



**IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA**

**Jamie Weinbauer Martin
9834 East Watford Way
Sun Lakes, Arizona 85248
Plaintiff, Pro Se**

COPY

DEC - 1 2025



**CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
G. FARLER
DEPUTY CLERK**

v.

**Maricopa County,
acting through the Recorder's Office, Elections Department,
Office of Procurement Services, Information Governance,
and the Board of Supervisors,
Respondent.**

**SPECIAL ACTION COMPLAINT
(Arizona Public Records Law – A.R.S. § 39-121.02)**

CV 2025-063720

Plaintiff Jamie Weinbauer Martin, appearing pro se, brings this Special Action under A.R.S. § 39-121.02 against Respondent Maricopa County for improperly denying access to public records required to exist under Arizona law.

I. PURPOSE OF THIS ACTION

This action asks the Court to determine whether Maricopa County lawfully responded to a single unified Public Records Request submitted April 15, 2025, and to compel production of statutory election-record documentation that the County denied, mishandled, or failed to locate in violation of A.R.S. § 39-121 et seq.

II. JURISDICTION

- 1. This action is brought pursuant to A.R.S. § 39-121.02(A).**
- 2. Venue is proper in Maricopa County.**
- 3. This Court has authority to compel disclosure, review the denial, and award statutory relief.**

III. INTRODUCTION

4. On April 15, 2025, Plaintiff submitted one unified Public Records Request for 2020 election compliance documentation, including statutory records required under A.R.S. §§ 16-161, 16-121, and 16-165.
5. These records must exist under Arizona law and federal oversight rules.
6. The County internally divided the single request among multiple departments, but Plaintiff submitted one request — not multiple.
7. Responses across departments were contradictory and unlawful — some claiming “no records exist,” others inserting statutes Plaintiff never requested.
8. The County failed to conduct a good-faith search or provide a lawful basis for denial.

IV. STATEMENT OF FACTS

A. April 15, 2025 — Original Public Records Request Submitted

EXHIBIT 1 — PRR #719 (April 15, 2025)

Plaintiff submitted a request for contracts and compliance documentation used for the 2018, 2020, 2022, and 2024 elections, including Runbeck, Dominion, USPS, and MVD-related items, with detailed justification.

B. June 9, 2025 — Plaintiff Provides Clarification Email to Elections

EXHIBIT 6A — Email to Michelle Montijo (June 9, 2025 at 3:25 PM MST)

Plaintiff provided a detailed clarification message describing oversight history and reiterating the statutory basis for the request.

This email received no written response.

C. May 15, 2025 — Information Governance Transfers Request

EXHIBIT 5 — Information Governance Transfer (May 15, 2025)

Information Governance informed Plaintiff that the request was transferred to Elections as PRR #139 and that both Elections and Recorder had responsibility. This was the first documented internal split of the unified request.

D. June 11, 2025 — Elections Denies All Records

EXHIBIT 4 — Elections Denial (June 11, 2025)

Elections stated: “The Elections Department does not have any responsive records.”

They denied statutory records that must exist, while redirecting items to the Recorder.

E. May 15, 2025 — Recorder Acknowledges Statutory Requirements

EXHIBIT 3 — Recorder Acknowledgment (May 15, 2025)

The Recorder confirmed receipt and identified multiple statutory sections (including A.R.S. §§ 16-161, 16-121, and 16-165).

Importantly, the Recorder incorrectly inserted A.R.S. § 16-166, a statute Plaintiff never requested.

This error later formed the basis of the Recorder's wrongful denial.

F. June 13, 2025 — Voicemail Follow-Up

EXHIBIT 6B — Voicemail Transcript (June 13, 2025 at 4:30 PM MST)

Michelle Montijo called and acknowledged receiving Plaintiff's June 9 clarification email.

No written response, no records, and no legal justification were ever provided.

G. June 24, 2025 — Recorder Closes the Request Improperly

EXHIBIT 2 — Recorder Closure (June 24, 2025)

Recorder stated: "All records have been released... we have no statutory duty to answer your questions."

This directly conflicts with:

- Elections' statement that no records exist, and**
- Recorder's own earlier acknowledgment identifying statutory responsibilities.**

No exemption was cited, and the closure was improper under Arizona law.

V. LEGAL VIOLATIONS

Respondent violated the following:

- 1. A.R.S. § 39-121 — Failure to allow inspection of public records.**
- 2. A.R.S. § 39-121.01(D) — Failure to conduct a prompt, good-faith search.**
- 3. A.R.S. § 39-121.01(E) — Failure to provide written justification for nondisclosure.**
- 4. A.R.S. § 39-121.02(A) — Improper denial and contradictory handling of a unified request.**
- 5. A.R.S. § 39-161 et seq. — Failure to maintain records required by law.**

VI. RELIEF REQUESTED

Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court:

A. Order Respondent to produce all records responsive to PRR #719.

B. Declare that Respondent violated public records law.

- C. Order Respondent to conduct a certified good-faith search across all departments.**
 - D. Award Plaintiff costs and fees under A.R.S. § 39-121.02(B).**
 - E. Grant any other relief deemed just.**
-

VERIFICATION

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

As a citizen, not an attorney, I submit this Special Action based on my understanding of Arizona statutes and my professional experience in risk mitigation, third-party vendor contract enforcement, and network re-engineering at American Express.

Dated: _____

Sun Lakes, Arizona

Jamie Weinbauer Martin

Plaintiff, Pro Se

9834 East Watford Way

Sun Lakes, AZ 85248

602-403-4535