

Exhibit 6A

From: Jamie and John Martin johmie429@gmail.com
Subject: Re: Public Records Request Confirmation for request # 139
Date: Jun 9, 2025 at 3:25:32 PM
To: Michelle Montijo Michelle.Montijo@maricopa.gov

Jamie Weinbauer
602 403 4535

On Jun 9, 2025, at 1:41PM, Michelle Montijo (OOC)
<Michelle.Montijo@maricopa.gov> wrote:

Subject: Clarification and Documentation of Ongoing Oversight Request

Dear Michelle,

Thank you for your time and for your role in assisting with constituent concerns. I want to ensure there is clarity and proper documentation regarding the scope and history of this ongoing issue.

Over the past several years, I have made repeated efforts to escalate a specific compliance oversight concern involving Arizona's election-related third-party contracts. This concern has been brought to the attention of multiple Arizona Leaders. Most concerning are the refusal of JD Mesnard and Julie Willoughby, and their respective administrations who promised appropriate meeting then refused to follow up.

At the core of this issue is **Resolution Three**, which has already been approved in principle. The next step is implementation—**approval in action**. That is the request currently before the Maricopa County Board of Supervisors and the County Recorder: to activate Resolution Three's authority to initiate formal contract compliance oversight and Corrective Action Plan (CAP) protocols.

This resolution was designed to ensure enforceable accountability whenever procedural failures occur. It is not symbolic; it was written with

legal sustainability in mind. Its purpose is to address contract enforcement gaps and protect the public trust.

I am not seeking to assign blame—I am requesting the appropriate departments move forward with the corrective mechanisms already approved. I respectfully ask for your help in ensuring that this matter is properly tracked and not dismissed or indefinitely deferred.

Please find below a summary timeline of key escalation efforts:

Timeline of Oversight Escalation

Date	Milestone / Event
2021	Attempted engagement with judge on contract oversight post-2020; concern dismissed.
2023-05-05	Contacted Gov. Hobbs and Secretary Fontes; referred back and forth with no resolution.
2023-06-09	Submitted concerns via Engage@az.gov; no response received.
2023-07-11	Emailed Senator Mark Kelly; reply unrelated to compliance issue.
2023-08-30	Contacted Rep. Biggs' intern; no resolution.
2023-11-15	Emailed Senator Wendy Rogers; no response.
2024-01	Became PC in LD13; engaged a Recorder candidate familiar with oversight protocols.
2024-04-03	Recorder Richer invited direct phone call; no follow-up occurred.
2024-04-05	Voicemail received from Recorder Richer; conversation never scheduled.
2024-05-04	Email sent to Info@kariforus.com; no response.
2024-05-05	Submitted oversight concerns via County Attorney form; no response.
2024-07-23	Constituent Services advised contacting legislator.
2024-08-02	Contacted LD13 legislator; their sheriff admitted "too much red

	tape" to fix oversight.
2024-11-26	Submitted Resolution Three to MCRC Resolutions Committee.
2024-12-29	Resolution Three officially approved by MCRC EGC.
2025-01-15	Chairman confirmed resolutions passed at January 11 MCRC meeting.
2025-02-03	Spoke to state procurement official about parent-vendor contract enforcement failures.
2025-03-15– Now	Submitted a 90-day CAP to BOS, Recorder Heap, and MCRC; no reply to date.

Summary of Compliance Oversight Concern

The Problem:

The Arizona State Elections Department has allowed third-party vendors to self-monitor compliance with their contracts, even during high-risk cycles like the 2020 pandemic election. Despite changes to service-level agreements (SLAs) in 2018, 2022, and 2024, no updated oversight was implemented after 2020—when it was arguably most critical.

Missed Red Flags:

Disaster recovery language was struck from 2020 contracts. That's not only a contract oversight—it's a risk management failure. No post-2020 CAP or investigation was initiated.

Attempts to Resolve:

Despite escalating this issue for four years—including through formal public records requests—there has been no meaningful response or implementation of corrective protocols.

Why Oversight Matters:

Without independent enforcement, vendors can fail to meet contractual standards with no consequences. Resolution Three was created to

prevent that by requiring action—not just acknowledgment.

Recommended Actions

1. **Confirm inter-departmental awareness** of this timeline and issue.
2. **Support activation of Resolution Three** through formal CAP enforcement.
3. **Ensure this matter is not closed** without documentation or response from relevant oversight bodies.

Thank you again, Michelle, for your time and service. Please let me know if additional records or clarifications are needed to assist you in navigating this matter.

Sincerely,
Jamie Martin
PC, LD13
Sun Lakes, AZ

Hi Jamie,

Thank you for the email. This does not appear to be the email you indicated you sent Supervisor Mark Stewart's office, at least I am not seeing him or his office on the email thread. However, if this in fact is the email you sent to Supervisor Stewart, this public records request and would be handled via the Information Governance (IG) department not the Supervisor's office.

I will pass along this email to the IG team for their review of the request.

Respectfully,



Michelle Montijo
Ombudsman
County Manager's Office
301 W Jefferson Phoenix, AZ 85003
O: 602-506-4042
[Maricopa.Gov]
[Facebook](#) | [Instagram](#) | [Twitter](#) | [YouTube](#) | [LinkedIn](#)

From: Jamie and John Martin <johmie429@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, June 9, 2025 12:44 PM
To: Michelle Montijo (OOC) <Michelle.Montijo@Maricopa.Gov>
Subject: Fwd: Public Records Request Confirmation for request # 139

Hi Michelle,

Here's the original request for easy viewing. This lists the actions I'm requesting to confirm the Six Sigma Methodology would resolve this issue and get states to meet their 99.999% customer satisfaction rating. We were at 60% approval rating for 2020. Way below 99.999%

Non-Commercial Records Request:

Agency: Elections Department

Request Intent: Inspect

Request Description: Information Governance PRR #1123 is being transferred to Elections for processing. Request initially emailed to Office of Procurement Services. The request is as follows: Requested Information: Please provide the following records and explanations for the 2020 election, as required by A.R.S. § 39-121 (public records access):

1. A.R.S. § 16-452 (Election Procedures Manual): • Documentation showing compliance with the Secretary of State's Instructions and Procedures Manual, ensuring "maximum degree of correctness, impartiality, uniformity, and efficiency" in ballot handling. • Reports or metrics (e.g., voter satisfaction ratings, regulatory scores) justifying approval of 2020 election results.
2. A.R.S. § 16-161 (Voter Registration Records): • Reports submitted to the Secretary of State on voters registered without proof of citizenship, including ballots cast for federal offices only, per § 16-168(G).
3. A.R.S. § 16-121 (Voter List Maintenance): • Processes and reports used to maintain accurate voter lists, ensuring only eligible voters were included.
4. A.R.S. § 16-165 (Deceased Record Checks): • Records of checks to remove deceased voters from rolls, including any identified failures and corrective actions.
5. A.R.S. § 16-166 (Voter Registration Reporting): • Documentation of voter registration

data reported to the Secretary of State, including compliance with federal form requirements. 6. Runbeck/Dominion Contracts: • Evidence of compliance with contract terms, including: • Certification of Dominion's software/hardware by the Secretary of State (Dominion Contract, Exhibit B, § 2.1). • Source code escrow with the Secretary of State (Dominion Contract, § 2.2). • Maintenance of Optech Insights and 400-C units (Dominion Contract, § 2.4). • Explanation for strikethroughs in Runbeck/Dominion contract disaster recovery language, critical during the 2020 pandemic, and why new contracts were not initiated to address this gap. Action Requested: • Provide the above records within 10 business days, per A.R.S. § 39-121.01(E) (prompt response requirement). • If records are exempt, cite specific exemptions and release non-exempt portions. • Confirm receipt of this email and my prior voicemail.

Thanks

Jamie Weinbauer Martin
602 403 4535

Begin forwarded message:

From: ElectionsPRR@maricopa.gov

Date: May 15, 2025 at 1:15:08 PM MST

To: johmie429@gmail.com

Subject: Public Records Request Confirmation for request # 139

Non-Commercial Records Request:

Agency: Elections Department

Request Intent: Inspect

Request Description: Information Governance PRR #1123 is being transferred to Elections for processing. Request initially emailed to Office of Procurement Services. The request is as follows: Requested Information: Please provide the following records and explanations for the 2020 election, as required by A.R.S. § 39-121 (public records access): 1. A.R.S. § 16-452 (Election Procedures Manual): • Documentation showing compliance with the Secretary of State's Instructions and Procedures Manual, ensuring "maximum degree of correctness, impartiality, uniformity, and efficiency" in ballot handling. • Reports or metrics (e.g., voter satisfaction ratings, regulatory scores) justifying approval of 2020 election results. 2. A.R.S. § 16-161 (Voter Registration Records): • Reports submitted to the Secretary of State on voters registered without proof of citizenship, including ballots cast for federal offices only, per § 16-168(G). 3. A.R.S. § 16-121 (Voter List Maintenance): • Processes and reports used to maintain accurate voter lists, ensuring only eligible voters were included. 4. A.R.S. § 16-165 (Deceased Record Checks): • Records of checks to remove deceased voters from rolls, including any identified failures

and corrective actions. 5. A.R.S. § 16-166 (Voter Registration Reporting): • Documentation of voter registration data reported to the Secretary of State, including compliance with federal form requirements. 6. Runbeck/Dominion Contracts: • Evidence of compliance with contract terms, including: • Certification of Dominion's software/hardware by the Secretary of State (Dominion Contract, Exhibit B, § 2.1). • Source code escrow with the Secretary of State (Dominion Contract, § 2.2). • Maintenance of Optech Insights and 400-C units (Dominion Contract, § 2.4). • Explanation for strikethroughs in Runbeck/Dominion contract disaster recovery language, critical during the 2020 pandemic, and why new contracts were not initiated to address this gap. Action Requested: • Provide the above records within 10 business days, per A.R.S. § 39-121.01(E) (prompt response requirement). • If records are exempt, cite specific exemptions and release non-exempt portions. • Confirm receipt of this email and my prior voicemail.